REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 9 are cancelled.

Claims 7 and 8, indicated as allowable if the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, is overcome, each have been amended to include the limitations of cancelled claim 1 and revised to avoid the 35 USC §112 rejection.

Claims 7 and 8 no longer require that the mechanism be a closed form structure and include the elevator and secondary crusher. Those claims now require that, in closed form structure, the body include a plurality of sections arranged for storing aggregate material of different gradation levels.

Applicant notes that a certified copy of the priority documents has not been received. Same will be provided under separate cover as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert L. Epstein, Reg. No. 26451

EPSTEIN DRANGEL

BAZERMAN & JAMES, LLP

Attorneys for Applicant

60 East 42nd Street, Suite 820

New York, New York 10165

Tel. No.: (212) 292-5390

Fax. No.: (212) 292-5391

Z:\Data\GENCER, Mehmet\1920-100US\PTO Papers\Amend 2.doc